Application Serial No. 10/082,112
Amendment filed November 4, 2008
Reply to final Office Action mailed August 12, 2008

REMARKS

Claims 1-17 are pending and under consideration. Claims 1, 15 and 17 have been amended. Support for the amendments to the claims may be found in the claims as originally filed.

This response is directed to the indefiniteness rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph only, in order to place the application in better condition for an appeal. The Applicants intend to file a Notice of Appeal of the rejection 1-17 under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Entry of this amendment is requested as placing the application in better condition for appeal by, at least, reducing the number of issues outstanding. Further reconsideration is requested based on the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112:

Claims 1, 15 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as indefinite. Claims 1, 15 and 17 were amended to make them more definite. In particular, the recitation "system" has been replaced by "device." Claims 1, 15, and 17 are thus submitted to be definite within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Withdrawal of the rejection is earnestly solicited.

Conclusion:

If there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

Application Serial No. 10/082,112 Amendment filed November 4, 2008 Reply to final Office Action mailed August 12, 2008

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Registration No. 37,889

Date: November 4, 2008

By: /Thomas E. McKiernan/
Thomas E. McKiernan/

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500

Facsimile: (202) 434-1501